

ISBN 979-8-88862-828-7 DOI 10.46299/979-8-88862-828-7 Lohosha R., Mazur K., Hontaruk Y., Babyna O., Babyn I., Belkin I., Bereziuk S., Harbar Z., Germanyuk N., Kolesnik T., Kubai O., Prylutskyi A., Furman I., Yaremchuk N., Pidlubnyi V., Koval O., Revkova A.

## MANAGEMENT OF MARKETING ACTIVITIES OF AGRICULTURAL FORMATIONS IN THE CONDITIONS OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION

Monograph

#### Author's:

Lohosha R., Mazur K., Hontaruk Y., Babyna O., Babyn I., Belkin I., Bereziuk S., Harbar Z., Germanyuk N., Kolesnik T., Kubai O., Prylutskyi A., Furman I., Yaremchuk N., Pidlubnyi V., Koval O., Revkova A.

#### **Editor:**

**Lohosha Roman** – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Agrarian Management and Marketing, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University.

Lohosha R., Mazur K., Hontaruk Y., Babyna O., Babyn I., Belkin I., Bereziuk S., Harbar Z., Germanyuk N., Kolesnik T., Kubai O., Prylutskyi A., Furman I., Yaremchuk N., Pidlubnyi V., Koval O., Revkova A. Management of marketing activities of agricultural formations in the conditions of European integration. Monograph. – Primedia eLaunch, Boston, USA, 2022. – 398 p.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data ISBN – 979-8-88862-828-7 DOI – 10.46299/979-8-88862-828-7

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, or stored in a data base or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher. The content and reliability of the articles are the responsibility of the authors. When using and borrowing materials reference to the publication is required.

#### **UDC 338.43**

ISBN - 979-8-88862-828-7

© Lohosha R., Mazur K., Hontaruk Y., Babyna O., Babyn I., Belkin I., Bereziuk S., Harbar Z., Germanyuk N., Kolesnik T., Kubai O., Prylutskyi A., Furman I., Yaremchuk N., Pidlubnyi V., Koval O., Revkova A.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Lohosha R. <sup>1</sup>	8
	METHODOLOGICAL BASES OF THE MECHANISM OF ENSURING THE EFFICIENCY OF AGRARIAN ENTERPRISES' MARKETING ACTIVITIES	
	<sup>1</sup> Head of the Department of Agricultural Management and Marketing, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	
2.	Mazur K. <sup>1</sup>	30
	PROSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS OF DEVELOPMENT OF MARKETING IN THE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS MARKET OF VINNYTSIA REGION IN THE CENTER OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION	
	<sup>1</sup> Department of Agrarian Management and Marketing, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	
3.	Hontaruk Y. <sup>1</sup>	49
	IMPROVEMENT OF THE MECHANISM OF ANALYSIS AND PLANNING OF MARKETING ACTIVITIES OF AGRO- INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX ENTERPRISES IN THE FIELD OF FOREIGN ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN THE CONDITIONS OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION	
	<sup>1</sup> Department of Agrarian Management and Marketing, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	
4.	Babyna O. <sup>1</sup> , Babyn I. <sup>2</sup>	82
	MODERN ASPECTS OF MARKETING MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES OF AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES	
	<sup>1</sup> Department of Agrarian Management and Marketing, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	
	<sup>2</sup> Department of machinery and equipment for agricultural production of Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	
5.	Belkin I. <sup>1</sup>	107
	COMMUNICATION ETHICS AS ONE OF THE COMPONENTS OF MARKETING COMMUNICATION POLICY	
	<sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural Management and Marketing, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	
6.	Bereziuk S. <sup>1</sup>	124
	DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A RATIONAL MARKETING STRUCTURE OF ENTERPRISES IN THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INDUSTRY IN THE CONDITIONS OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION	
	<sup>1</sup> Department of Administrative Management and Alternative Energy Sources, Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	

10.46299/979-8-88862-828-7.1

# **1.** Methodological bases of the mechanism of ensuring the efficiency of agrarian enterprises' marketing activities

Successful management of an agricultural enterprise depends on its joint effective activity, which is carried out in such a way as to ensure the possibility of achieving timely and full implementation of the set target strategic objectives based on the effective use of existing, hidden resources and reserves. Therefore, the definition of a systematic approach to assessing the effectiveness of agricultural enterprises in accordance with the needs of owners, shareholders, employees and ensuring on this basis a promising long-term future is an important task for agricultural enterprises. In addition, modern agrarian enterprises are increasingly dependent on the conditions dictated by the agrarian market, and effective marketing activities make it possible to firmly occupy positions in it.

The issue of ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises was dealt with by many scientists and practitioners: Butenko N.V., Vlasov V.I., Gerasimyak N.V., Kaletnik G.M., Kaplan R.S., Norton D.P., Sabluk P.T., Selezneva O.O., Shpykulyak O.G., Yatsyuk D.V., Yasheva G.A. and others. However, the issue of scientific and methodological foundations for assessing the efficiency of agricultural enterprises still remains insufficiently studied.

Consider the definition of the effectiveness of marketing activities based on scientific analysis of various literature sources. Efficiency as an economic concept is a comparison of the results of economic activity with the resources spent: labor, material, natural, financial, fixed capital, etc. The theory of efficiency develops methods for measuring the costs and results of the functioning of the national economy and its individual links [1, p. 64].

Efficiency as an economic category reflects the correlation between the results obtained and the resources spent on their achievement, and when measuring efficiency, resources can be represented either in a certain amount at their original (revalued) cost

(applied resources) or part of their cost in the form of production costs (production consumed resources) [2, p. 189].

Jean-Claude Larrachet proposes to consider efficiency as the achievement of the desired results at the lowest possible cost or obtaining the maximum possible output from a given amount of resources [3, p. 49].

Thus, efficiency is the indicator that is determined by the ratio between the achieved result and the resources used. Assessment of this ratio, in our opinion, requires principles, methods, criteria, indicators, the disclosure of which is the main task of the study of the complex economic category of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises.

The principles are the main starting point aimed at determining the effectiveness of marketing activities in the context of a systematic approach to the management of agricultural enterprises. In the scientific literature, Selezniova O.O. proposes to distinguish the following marketing principles: focus on the consumer, marketing integration, adequacy of marketing research, strategic orientation, quality of marketing activities, marketing financing, marketing effectiveness in the formation of the reputation of an agricultural enterprise [4, p. 57].

According to Butenko N.V., the basic principles of ensuring effective marketing activities are [5, p. 28]:

- objectivity – the need to collect information that adequately reflects the real market situation through a clear systematization of the marketing research process and the prevention of possible errors;

- systematicity – conducting marketing research in accordance with the developed plans and necessary stages;

- complexity – implementation of a set of interrelated actions, some of which ensure the effectiveness of the following;

- continuity – marketing research is constantly carried out due to the dynamic change of factors of the marketing environment;

- efficiency – the ability to quickly and timely respond and adjust the process of marketing research or their direction.

Since we are considering the concept of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, we will pay attention to the principles of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, which include: consistency, informativeness, development of clear and effective strategies and tactics of communication activities, etc [3, p. 49].

Agreeing with the above approaches and supplementing them in view of the conducted research, we propose to highlight the most important from the point of view of ensuring the effectiveness of agricultural enterprises: objectivity, systematicity, comprehensiveness, continuity, efficiency, consumer orientation, consistency, informativeness. This choice is explained by the need to ensure the comprehensiveness and objectivity of the research results.

The next stage of the study is the substantiation of evaluation methods, the use of which will contribute to the most complete definition of a complex economic study of agricultural marketing activities.

In the scientific literature it is customary to classify research methods into [6, p. 146]:

- depending on the nature of the indicators are divided into quantitative, qualitative and mixed;

- depending on the connection with the object of evaluation, methods of direct and indirect evaluation are distinguished;

- depending on the universality of application are divided into general and specific;

- depending on the research methodology, they are divided into methods of deterministic, factor or taxonomic analysis, fuzzy sets, comparison;

- depending on the method of generalization of the results, methods based on one or more evaluation indicators are distinguished.

Therefore, paying attention to the methods of studying the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, we can confidently assert their significant number, a partial description of which is given below.

The basic principles of marketing efficiency research are general scientific and analytical and prognostic methods, the information support of which are the results of

desk and field studies, as well as information from various sources (internal and external, own and paid, etc.) [7, p. 13]. The most important are market research and its structure, consumer and competitor research, research of representatives of related businesses, research of goods, their prices, sales and turnover, research of the system of sales promotion and advertising, as well as research of the internal environment of the enterprise.

Desk research is carried out on the basis of secondary data obtained from official printed sources of information, using methods of economic analysis in combination with elements of econometrics and mathematical statistics. This type of research allows you to get a general idea of market conditions, trends, capacity, availability, competitors' actions, etc.

Field research uses personal contacts, primary information, methods of economic analysis that allow you to quickly get acquainted with specific market requirements, sales methods, consumer behavior. These studies are conducted through surveys, observation and experimentation. Field studies are more expensive than desk research, but they provide an opportunity to obtain the necessary reliable information that will help to conduct an accurate analysis of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises.

Ilchuk M.M. completes the assessment of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises with economic and statistical methods of analysis, which include [8, p. 52]:

- correlation analysis, which allows to identify and evaluate the direction of the relationship between the parameters under study;

- regression analysis involves finding the most adequate equation describing the dependence of a value on a set of independent variables;

- discriminant analysis is used to determine the signs of difference between two or more categories of objects;

- factor analysis allows to identify a system of independent variables underlying a large set of interrelated variables;

- cluster analysis helps to divide a group of objects into several groups by a set of qualitative and quantitative characteristics;

- analysis of multivariate sets is designed to visualize the relative position of competing products or brands.

This approach is shared by Lohosha R.V., Mazur K.V., Krychkovsky V.Y. and emphasizes two groups of marketing research: quantitative and qualitative [7, p. 15].

According to Ivanov Y.B., financial method (analysis of marketing profitability (S. Goodman), estimation of the ratio of marginal profits to marginal costs (Feder, Scheg), vertical integration measures (Andersen, Weitz), sales analysis (McLean), prediction of deviations in the marketing budget (Hulbert, Toy), estimation of potential cash flows (Buzzell, Chassil) is not sufficient to determine the effectiveness of marketing activities, since non-financial factors that determine financial efficiency are left aside [9, p. 157]. Therefore, the scientist proposes to use marketing risk management methods to improve the efficiency of marketing activities of enterprises.

Thus, the study of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises is based on such methods as:

- quantitative, qualitative and mixed;

- cabinet and field;

- economic and statistical.

The next stage of the study is to determine the criteria for the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises. According to the academic dictionary, a criterion is a basis for evaluating, defining or classifying something; a measure.

The effectiveness of marketing depends on 5 criteria [10, p. 69]

- development and implementation of an appropriate marketing strategy with special emphasis on product positioning

- development of more effective creativity;

- implementation of marketing strategy and tactical measures;

- effective management of external agencies, motivation, coordination of marketing activities;

- external factors – seasonality, regulatory authorities, etc.

According to Butenko N.V., there are the following criteria for assessing the marketing activities of enterprises [5, p. 76]

- improvement of marketing strategy;

- increase of competitiveness and authority of the enterprise;

- growth of income from products;

- increase in the proportion of new products in the range;

- achieving the goal of the plan, improving the competitive position of the subenterprise;

- increase in customer awareness;

- attracting new customers; improving the image of the enterprise;

- increasing the effectiveness of promotion activities;

- availability of systematic updated information base;

- ensuring the information needs of managers and specialists in individual marketing functions.

Telyetov O.S. proposes to apply a generalized criterion of the effectiveness of marketing services based on the calculation of the total annual economic effect of marketing activities. But, as Professor Ivanov Y.B. notes, this approach does not take into account the qualitative indicators of marketing activity [9, p. 157].

Most scientists understand the criteria for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities as the level of sales, timeliness, level of aggregation of marketing activities and contingency planning.

To evaluate marketing technologies, a «balanced scorecard» has been developed. The balanced scorecard contains four main criteria for the effectiveness of marketing activities: finance, internal business processes, customers and the market, and enterprise personnel [11, p. 65].

The next stage of the study will be to determine the indicators for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises.

From the point of view of short-term results, marketing efficiency is measured by indicators related to income, and from the point of view of the long-term perspective - by indicators related to brand and consumer capital.

Pavlenko A.F. and Voichak A.V. consider control to be a component of effective marketing activity of an enterprise and propose to evaluate it by such indicators as: market growth, market share, marketing productivity, order dynamics, average order size, structure and dynamics of consumers (customers), quality of customer service, efficiency of advertising costs, price advantage, discount ratio, speed and duration of inventory turnover, sales ratio of new goods [12, p. 127].

Yatsyuk D.V. partially agrees with the above-mentioned indicators, but emphasizes that the effectiveness of marketing activities requires comparison of marketing costs with the profit received, which characterizes the final financial results of the enterprise [13, p. 662]. According to the scientist, the best criterion for marketing efficiency is not profit maximization, but maximization of the owner's benefits depending on the degree of implementation of the planned activities and achievement of the desired results. And as indicators of efficiency according to the criterion of distribution of funds (capital) Yatsyuk D.V. puts forward the amount of net profit aimed at paying dividends to the owners of the enterprise, and for the employees of the enterprise – it is the amount of net profit aimed at forming reserve capital, etc.

Lavrova Y.V. shares and supplements this classification, according to which the effectiveness of marketing activities of enterprises should be characterized by the following indicators [14, p. 45]:

1. The proportion of marketing staff reflects the share of employees performing marketing functions in the total number of employees of the enterprise. Since at domestic industrial enterprises the marketing function is often reduced to the sale of finished products, and in marketing departments more than half of the employees are engaged in sales activities, in practice this indicator is usually somewhat overestimated.

2. The share of marketing personnel costs is the share of wages received by marketing department employees in the total wage fund of the enterprise.

3. Profitability of promotion and sales costs characterizes the effectiveness of sales costs and is calculated as the ratio of the amount of profit from sales to the amount of promotion and sales costs.

4. Profitability of marketing costs is the ratio of the amount of profit from sales of products and the total amount of marketing costs and illustrates the effectiveness of marketing activities implemented by the enterprise.

5. Overstocking ratio is calculated as the ratio of changes in the balance of finished goods and the volume of sales of the enterprise. In the case when the value of this indicator is positive and continues to grow, the company has an increase in the balance of finished unsold products. And vice versa, when this indicator decreases or becomes negative, the company is reducing the balance of finished goods.

Let us consider in detail the indicators related to the balanced scorecard according to the theory of Kaplan R.S. and Norton D.P.

The block «Finance» should contain indicators that will determine whether the company is fulfilling its marketing goals, whether it is moving towards the successful implementation of marketing technologies. These can be indicators that characterize the process of selling goods of a trading enterprise, namely: sales growth, revenue from the sale of goods (services) per 1 m2 of retail space, the level of turnover costs to revenue from the sale of goods, the level of gross income to revenue from the sale of goods, market share, etc.

The «Customers and Market» block is a tool for assessing the «target segment», the lack of a clear focus on which does not allow the company to distinguish itself from competitors. These can be the following indicators: the number of segments covered, the purchase completion rate, the share of regular customers, the customer satisfaction index, etc.

The block «Business processes» should contain indicators that allow to assess the rationality of the functioning of specific internal processes necessary to serve the customers of the trading enterprise and determine the consumer value. Such indicators may be: the level of prices for goods, the level of trade service of customers, the effectiveness of advertising measures, the coefficient of stability of the range of goods, etc.

The «Personnel» block should include indicators that will help to conduct a «personnel analysis». In particular, these are: the degree of satisfaction of employees

with working conditions, skills and qualifications of salespeople, productivity of one salesperson, staff turnover rate, level of education of employees, the coefficient of costs for training of salespeople, the number of proposals for marketing activities, etc.

After the formation of the list of indicators, it is necessary to establish their normative values, which are recommended to be developed taking into account market indicators, the study of competitors' indicators and the capabilities of the enterprise based on SWOT analysis [15, p. 167].

The effectiveness of marketing activities of any organization is largely determined by the functioning of the marketing system, whose employees, of course, do not create products, but, carrying out certain organizational and commercial activities for the production of goods, preserving its quality, providing commodity infrastructure, are an integral part of the production staff.

According to the approach of the foreign author J. Lenskold, the effectiveness of marketing activities is associated with the return on investment in marketing or return on marketing investments. Assumptions that affect the value of return on marketing investment are regularly adjusted with changes in the competitive environment, customer needs and marketing channel costs.

Therefore, the main task of marketing specialists is to substantiate the conditions for applying a particular indicator to assess the effectiveness of marketing activities, depending on the chosen criterion of such effectiveness.

Thus, the results of the research allow us to identify the main criteria for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities for agricultural enterprises

- development and implementation of an appropriate marketing strategy (level of achievement of marketing goals);

- development of a more effective approach to the internal business processes of the agricultural enterprise;

- implementation of marketing strategy and tactical measures in agricultural markets;

- efficiency of personnel management of the enterprise;

- efficiency of marketing investments.

Based on these criteria, we present indicators for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises [11, p. 68]:

- level of sales of agricultural products: sales growth, level of turnover costs to revenue from the sale of agricultural products, level of gross income to revenue from the sale of agricultural products, market share, etc;

- rationality of functioning of specific internal processes necessary to serve customers of agricultural enterprises and determine consumer value (level of prices for agricultural products, level of customer service, effectiveness of promotional activities, coefficient of assortment stability);

- a tool for assessing target consumers in the market: the number of segments covered, the dynamics of orders, the average size of orders, the structure and dynamics of agricultural producers;

- personnel analysis: the degree of satisfaction of employees with working conditions, skills and qualifications of agricultural sales specialists, labor productivity of one agricultural sales specialist, staff turnover rate, level of education of employees, the coefficient of costs for advanced training of salespeople);

- profitability of marketing investments, profitability of promotion and sales costs, speed and duration of inventory turnover, sales ratio of new agricultural products.

The above criteria and indicators for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities require the existence of an information system of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, which is based on comprehensive research and effective marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, primarily on the basis of objective and reliable information. «Who owns the information – he owns the world», said – Nathan Rothschild [16]. Nowadays, marketers have a growing need for information for decision-making, but it is not so easy to collect useful information for agricultural enterprises. Scientists such as Belyavtsev M.I., Bronnikova T.S., Butenko N.V., Grigorchuk T.V., Yezhova L.F., Kozhemyakina T.V., Makarenko M.V., Chernyavsky O.G. believe that any enterprise should have a marketing information

system. An enterprise that works with a well-established marketing information system has high competitive advantages.

In fact, information plays a very important role in making any decisions. It concerns every day every person, and even more so the enterprise or the country's economy. Let's explore the marketing information system.

The system is understood as a set of interrelated elements that interact with the environment as a whole and separated from it, or an order caused by the correct, systematic arrangement and interconnection of parts of something. Therefore, ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities can be defined as a system of purposeful actions of the enterprise to find a sustainable advantage over competitors by meeting the needs of consumers.

Effective marketing information helps to correctly determine the areas of attraction, obtain information about the capacity of the agricultural market, gain competitive advantages, reduce risk, determine consumer attitudes towards goods and services, monitor the external and internal environment, coordinate strategy, evaluate activities, increase confidence in the firm, reinforce intuition, and increase efficiency [17].

The importance of ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities in agricultural enterprises is due to increased competitive pressure in the agricultural market. The problem of managing and ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities at these enterprises requires a joint solution both in terms of practice and science. In the conditions of development of the modern Ukrainian economy, such a common solution can be the creation and testing of an organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities. Therefore, the question arises of clarifying the essence of such a mechanism and identifying the features of its functioning at agricultural enterprises.

Marketing activity is an important aspect for the effective functioning, increasing their own financial capabilities and competitiveness of agricultural enterprises. In the current economy, in order to save their own financial sources, such

enterprises should independently structure scientific and methodological approaches and calculations for effective marketing activities.

To achieve the necessary direction of development of agricultural enterprises, it is necessary to pay attention to the state of interaction of indicators of the effectiveness of their marketing activities. Mathematical methods and models are one of the effective tools for research, analysis and forecasting of any economic system, phenomenon or process.

For objective decision-making and selection of the most effective marketing solution we will use the method of hierarchy analysis (MHA). This method of analysis was developed by the famous American mathematician Thomas Saaty in the late 1970s. The method consists in decomposing the problem into simpler components and gradual prioritization of the evaluated components using pairwise comparisons [18, p. 7].

This method occupies a special place among the developed approaches to determining the optimal management decision in conditions of multicriteria and is successfully used to solve many practical problems at different levels of planning.

The hierarchy analysis method (MHA) is a large interdisciplinary branch of science that has a clear mathematical basis and numerous applications for decision making by prioritizing alternative proposals. Decision-making is the choice of effective measures or means in terms of the mechanism of action in conditions characterized by the balance of power in a certain system to achieve complex priorities. When analyzing the factors influencing the implementation of the planned activities, the impact factors are assessed. The obtained level of influence of each factor is an integral assessment of a set of factors combined into a hierarchy [19, p. 38].

The hierarchy analysis method is the optimal method due to its advantages: versatility in analyzing complex problems and systems, the ability to take into account expert opinions, ease of use. When using this method, the choice of priority solutions is made by pairwise comparisons.

Let us apply the Saaty method in ranking the efficiency indicators of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises. The first stage of the hierarchy analysis method

begins with the decomposition and presentation of the scientific problem in a hierarchical form. Marketing specialists are faced with the questions: «To what extent does one indicator prevail over another? Which of the elements is more important? Which of the indicators is the most attractive? Which indicator is more significant?». To answer these questions, that is, to determine the predominance of indicators of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, the Saaty scale of pairwise comparisons is used. Based on this scale, we can obtain the results of the evaluation of indicators in quantitative terms.

Let us make a hierarchical model of identification of alternative indicators of ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises (Table 1).

Table 1.

Relative importance (points)	Definition	Explanation (level of importance)	
1	Equal importance	Both indicators contribute equally	
3	One indicator is slightly more important than the other	Experience allows us to put one indicator slightly higher than the other	
5	Significant advantage of the indicator	Experience allows to establish the unconditional superiority of one over the other	
7	Significant advantage of the indicator	One indicator is so much more important than the other that it is practically significant	
9	Absolute superiority of one over the other	The obvious advantage is confirmed by the majority	
2, 4, 6,8	Intermediate estimates between adjacent statements	Compromise solution	
Inverse	If when comparing one indicator with the second one of the above numbers (1-9		
values of	is obtained, then when comparing the second with the first we will have the inverse		
the numbers	value		
above			

Thomas Saaty's scale of pairwise comparisons

MHA is a systematic procedure of hierarchical representation of the elements that determine the essence of the question by the following types of hierarchies: dominant in the form of an inverted tree; cholarchy, which has a limited connection; medullary, starting from simple and ending with complex.

Based on the created model, we apply dominant hierarchies starting from the top through intermediate levels and ending with the lowest level, which is usually a list of alternatives. The top is the management goal, and the intermediate levels, in turn, are

the criteria, the results of which feed into the next levels. A complete hierarchy is a hierarchy in which each element of a given level acts as a criterion for all elements of a lower level. According to the law of hierarchical continuity, the elements of the lower level should be paired in relation to the elements of the next level and so on to the next level [20, p. 723].

The next step of the hierarchy analysis method is to prioritize the criteria by comparing the elements of the hierarchy of the second level from the top in relation to the focus of the problem (efficiency of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises).

In order to create a matrix of pairwise comparisons for each element of the second level, we will select the criteria that are, in our opinion, the most generalized indicators for these levels:

- level of sales of agricultural products: sales growth; level of gross income to sales revenue;

- rationality of functioning of specific internal processes necessary for customer service and determination of consumer value: level of customer service; effectiveness of promotional activities;

- tool for assessing target consumers in the market: dynamics of orders; structure and dynamics of orders of agricultural products;

- personnel analysis: the degree of satisfaction of employees with working conditions; skills and qualifications of specialists in the sale of agricultural products;

- profitability of marketing activities: profitability of marketing investments.

Based on these criteria, we form a matrix of advantages and determine their priorities in terms of the overall goal.

The issue of ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities at agricultural enterprises through the organizational and economic mechanism remains insufficiently studied.

A significant contribution to the development of theoretical and practical issues on the scientific substantiation of the essence and structure of the organizational and economic mechanism of enterprise management was made by Vlasov V.I.,

Markova N.S., Putyatin Y.O., Pushkar O.M., Tridid O.M., Tulchinskaya S.O. and others.

Let us find out the action of the organizational and economic mechanism as a set of interrelated elements of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises.

Consider the very concept of mechanism as an economic category. The mechanism is a means of enterprise development under the influence of the external environment [21, p. 49]. Therefore, Kulman A. defines the economic mechanism as the relationship of economic phenomena and processes, and also distinguishes between a closed-type mechanism and an open-type mechanism (depending on the scope). At the same time, the author does not distinguish between the concepts of «economic mechanism» and «market mechanism». Pedchenko N.S. proposes to define the following key elements of the mechanism: method, instrument, legal support, information support, levers, institutions [22, p. 42].

We agree with the above approaches to the definition of «mechanism» as the interconnection of elements that give the object progressive development through the influence of the external environment. We also note that the set of such elements will depend on the type of mechanism of the object to which its action will be directed.

Having defined the author's vision of the concept of «mechanism», we offer its definition in terms of shading its organizational and economic variety. In the economic literature there is no unambiguous interpretation of the organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise, which is often identified by scientists as an economic mechanism. However, modern analysis of scientific approaches to the interpretation of these concepts shows that «economic mechanism» is a broader concept than "organizational and economic mechanism". In view of this, the definition of the structure of the organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise, which is a component of the economic mechanism, remains relevant.

Moskalenko V.P., Shipunova O.V. see the structure of the economic mechanism as a set of mechanisms based on certain management methods. In turn, Polozova T.V., Ovsyuchenko Y.V. propose to consider the determination of the place of the organizational and economic mechanism in the space of the economic mechanism of

the enterprise through the allocation of economic, social and legal mechanism [23, p. 64].

We propose to consider the system of interrelations among such mechanisms as economic, organizational, economic and development management at different levels. This model for any economic entities, enterprises of various industries, in which only the elements of the internal mechanism of development management among the subsystems, as well as the economic management mechanism will be different.

Let us distinguish two equivalent components of the organizational and economic mechanism: economic and organizational. This will highlight the directions and responsibilities in ensuring the effective operation of agricultural enterprises.

In the scientific literature it is stated that "economic mechanism" is a set of methods, which is composed of economic methods, forms, tools and levers of influence on economic relations and stages occurring in the enterprise.

Scientists propose to consider the economic mechanism as a structure of the functional-target scheme of the relationship of functional subsystems, support subsystems and economic aspects, the totality of which is to ensure the achievement of the enterprise's goals. At the same time, the functional subsystems are: planning, forecasting, regulation, remuneration, pricing, analysis and accounting; the support subsystem includes internal economic relations, rules and regulations, legal support, information support; economic levers include prices, tariffs, interest rates, economic incentives, benefits and sanctions.

Tridid O.M. divides the economic mechanism into economic, organizational and economic, organizational and managerial [24, p. 117]. The organizational component of the mechanism is understood as the allocation of legislative and managerial methods of organization of the agricultural sector.

Polozova T.V., Ovsyuchenko Y.V. define the organizational and economic mechanism of enterprises' activity as a set of organizational, financial and economic methods, ways, forms, tools and levers according to their own forms of management action, aimed at economic and organizational links of the management system and provide regulation of organizational, technical, production and technological, financial

and economic processes. The main purpose of such a mechanism is the final result of the enterprise, the formation and strengthening of organizational and economic potential and gaining competitive advantages [23, p. 64].

The organizational and economic mechanism is characterized as an organizational system, which is a set of methods, principles, rules and techniques that ensure the implementation of organizational and economic tasks. This mechanism serves to ensure the optimal level of activity of the organizational and economic component of the enterprise.

Lysenko Y. and Yegorov P. understand the organizational and economic mechanism as a system of determining goals and incentives that transforms the material and spiritual needs of consumers in the work process into the action of the means of production and their effectiveness, which should ensure the satisfaction of consumers' needs and their solvency [24, p. 118].

Malytskyi A.A. proposes to consider the organizational and economic mechanism as a complex of components of the support system, functional system and target system. These systems, according to the scientist, are a set of organizational and economic levers that act on the economic and organizational indicators of enterprise profitability for effective management and achievement of competitive advantages [25].

Sokotun G.O. understands the organizational and economic mechanism as an arsenal of means of influencing the activities of an enterprise with a complex chain of connections. The author defines the main criteria that characterize the effectiveness of the management mechanism: orientation of the enterprise structure with all its competitive differences to the end users of goods in the market, the possibility of timely adjustment of financial indicators and integration of economic activity in order to adapt to uncertainties, compliance with strategic goals and objectives, simplicity of the organizational structure, compliance with the scale and complexity of its organizational structure of the enterprise, the profile of activity [26, p. 193].

The system of ensuring the organizational and economic mechanism contains the following subsystems: legal, resource, regulatory and methodological, scientific,

technical, information management support. The organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise consists of the following functional subsystems: planning, organization, motivation, control and regulation.

Savina S.S. divides the organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise into the following subsystems: forecasting and planning of development; motivation, organization, control; information support [27, p. 164].

The organizational and economic mechanism of management consists of the following elements: subjects and objects of management; sequence of stages of implementation and use of the management system; management functions; a set of principles and methods that ensure effective management of the enterprise.

Selezniova O.O. shares this opinion, defining the main tools of the organizational and economic mechanism of management of a retail trade enterprise in the form of management functions, principles, methods, forms and procedures that cover the tasks of the business entity and take into account its specialization and modern conditions of functioning in the market environment [28].

Thus, the organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities is part of the management system of agricultural enterprises.

The target function of the organizational and economic mechanism is the profit from the production and sale of products that are competitive; high level of profitability and liquidity based on management methods, which include decision-making and their implementation, including monitoring their implementation and evaluation of the enterprise's performance [29, p. 46].

Having disclosed the target nature of the formation of the organizational and economic mechanism, we propose to pay attention to the principles that should be followed in order for the proposed mechanism to ensure efficiency. Therefore, the system of functional organizational and economic mechanism of ensuring the efficiency of enterprises is based on such principles as decentralization, compactness, intensity, reduction of intermediate links, cooperation with public authorities, flexibility, openness, adequacy. In our opinion, the organizational and economic

mechanism of ensuring the efficiency of enterprises is a system of elements of organization, systematization of income and economic principles aimed at obtaining the expected financial resources for the implementation of expansion in production.

Savina S.S. to the principles of organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise, in addition to the above, also includes complexity, stability, continuity and innovation orientation [27, p. 165].

Scientists Solovyov V.P. and Savchenko O.V. propose to form such a mechanism on the basis of a system of principles: consistency, integrity, adaptability, adaptability, balance [30].

Thus, in our opinion, the basic principles of the organizational and economic mechanism of marketing efficiency for agricultural enterprises will be the following: decentralization, compactness, intensity, reduction of intermediate links, interaction of self-regulation with state regulation, flexibility, openness, adequacy to the socio-economic situation, complexity, stability, continuity and innovation, systematic, integrity, adaptability, balance.

The organizational and economic mechanism does not exist as a closed system, but is a dynamic and open system that includes a combination of internal and external factors, since an agricultural enterprise and its marketing activities can be inhibited or limited by various influences and factors. So, let us define the main functions of the organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises:

- realization of the potential of agricultural enterprises;

- ensuring competition and cooperation between agricultural enterprises when entering the path of economic growth;

- ensuring the balance of relations of agricultural enterprises with customers and consumers of agricultural products and with suppliers;

- creation of clear incentives for staff in creating effective marketing activities of enterprises;

- creation of a system of marketing information of agricultural enterprises;

- ensuring the regulatory framework of agricultural enterprises.

When adapting the organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, we propose to take into account the author's allocation of such elements as principles, methods, criteria, indicators for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities and the marketing information system as a condition for ensuring the effectiveness of such an assessment.

Based on the research of scientists, we distinguish the following components of the organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises: elements of the organizational and economic mechanism of an agricultural enterprise are aimed at ensuring effective management of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises. Accordingly, the first direction includes levers, principles, methods, relations, regulatory support and marketing information system, when the other is aimed at achieving the goal through the interaction of objects and subjects.

Such a mechanism will ensure the efficiency of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises in relations with suppliers, consumers of agricultural products, investors and competitors.

After analyzing the research, we will build an organizational and economic mechanism to ensure the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises.

Relations with third parties, in our opinion, are an important component of the organizational and economic mechanism of agricultural enterprises. The concept of economic relations is interpreted as relations that are objectively formed between people who participate in social labor in the production, distribution, exchange and consumption of means.

For agricultural enterprises, we have identified several types of relations, each of which is responsible for separate goals and aimed at the effectiveness of this mechanism [31-32]:

- relations with customers of agricultural products: sales; fulfillment of orders; quality control of service; merchandising; accounting for the movement of advertising

services; cash flow control; marketing activities; complexity of service; long-term cooperation

- relations with investors;

- relations with competitors: monitoring of prices, range of agricultural products; monitoring of customers; dynamics of market coverage; cooperation;

- relations with consumers of agricultural products: quality control of services; control of the image of the enterprise; reliability of services; interest; definition of the target audience;

- relations with suppliers: search, order and purchase of goods and services (rental, transport, certification, banking, insurance); planning and control of the implementation of terms, volumes of prices and quality of supply of products, payments for it.

Thus, the organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises is an element of a comprehensive management mechanism that provides for the formation and strengthening of the enterprise's potential through the impact on the organizational parameters of the system and the economic elements of the enterprise's production activities. This can be done through the interaction of these elements of the organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise and effective management of the marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, with the help of which the goals of the agricultural enterprise are effectively realized, which are used simultaneously to effectively perform certain tasks in the field of more complete satisfaction of social, collective and individual needs, aimed at ensuring the progressive development of enterprises in this industry.

**Conclusions.** The theoretical and methodological foundations of the mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises are proposed. The scientific and methodological foundations for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises are determined, which consist in the correlation of principles (objectivity, systematicity, comprehensiveness, continuity, efficiency, consumer orientation, consistency, informativeness), methods (quantitative, qualitative and mixed; desk and field; economic and statistical), criteria

(development and implementation of an appropriate marketing strategy; development of a more effective approach to internal business processes of the enterprise; implementation of marketing strategies; development of a more effective approach to the internal business processes of the enterprise; implementation of marketing strategies); rationality of functioning of specific internal processes necessary for servicing customers of agricultural enterprises and determining consumer value; tool for assessing target consumers in the market; personnel analysis; profitability of marketing investments, profitability of promotion and sales costs, speed and duration of inventory turnover, sales ratio of new agricultural products), the disclosure of which is the main task of researching the complex economic category of the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises.

To conduct a comprehensive assessment of the level of efficiency of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises, marketing specialists must comprehensively possess information about the marketing environment of the enterprise. The marketing information system consisting of four subsystems is developed: subsystem of internal reporting of the enterprise; subsystem of analysis of marketing information; subsystem of formation of methods and methodologies of researches; subsystem of collection of external current marketing information.

Two equivalent components of the organizational and economic mechanism are allocated: economic and organizational. This allowed to determine the directions and responsibilities in ensuring the effective operation of agricultural enterprises.

It is determined that the organizational and economic mechanism for ensuring the effectiveness of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises is an element of a comprehensive management mechanism that provides for the formation and strengthening of the potential of an agricultural enterprise through the influence on the organizational parameters of the system and the economic elements of the production activity of the enterprise.

### REFERENCES

1. Vachevskyi M.V., Prymachenko N.M., Babiak M.M. Marketing culture in entrepreneurship: textbook. Kyiv: Center of educational literature, 2005. 128 p.

2. Andriychuk V.G. Economics of agrarian enterprises: textbook. Kyiv: KNEU, 2002. 624 p.

3. Logosha R.V., Semchuk I.A. Identification of marketing models of interaction of agricultural enterprises for the production of biofuels. Ekonomika APK. 2020. № 12 (314). P. 45-54. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32317/2221-1055.202012045

4. Selezniova O.O. Methodological support for assessing the effectiveness of marketing activities of enterprises. Scientific Notes of the National University of Ostroh Academy. Series: «Economics». 2014. Issue 25. P. 56-59.

5. Butenko N.V. Fundamentals of marketing: textbook. Kyiv: Publishing and printing center «Kyiv University», 2004. 140 p.

6. Pedchenko N.S. Assessment of the marketing component in the use of the development potential of enterprises and organizations of consumer cooperation. European vector of economic development. Series: Economic sciences. 2012. № 1 (12). P. 143-152.

7. Marketing research of vegetable products market in Ukraine: monograph / R.V. Lohosha, K.V. Mazur, V.Yu. Vinnytsia: TOV «TVORY», 2021. 344 p.

8. Ilchuk M.M. Fundamentals of entrepreneurial activity and agribusiness. Kyiv: Higher Education, 2002. 398 p.

9. Efficiency of marketing activity of enterprises in modern conditions of increased dynamism and riskiness of entrepreneurship. Economics: the realities of time. 2019.  $N_{2}$  1 (11). P. 155-160.

10. Logosha R.V., Bratkovsky O.A. Marketing activities management system. Formation of market relations in Ukraine: Collection of scientific papers. 2022. № 2 (249). P. 69-75. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6610058

11. Logosha R. V. Structural and functional features of the vegetable market in Ukraine. Economics, finance, management: topical issues of science and practice. 2019. № 10. P. 60-71. DOI: 10.37128/2411-4413-2019-10-8

12. Pavlenko A.F., Voychak A.V. Marketing: study guide. Kyiv: KNEU, 2003. 246 p.

13. Yatsyuk D.V. Key indicators and criteria of efficiency of marketing activity of the enterprise. Formation of market economy: collection of scientific articles. Kyiv: KNEU, 2011. P. 660-667.

14. Lavrova Y. V. Enterprise economics and marketing: lecture notes. Kharkiv: KHNADU, 2012. 133 p.

15. Lohosha R.V. World vegetable market and Ukraine's place on it. Scientific works of Poltava State Agrarian Academy. Series: Economic Sciences. 2013. Issue 1(6), vol. 1. P. 164-169.

16. National Association of Banks of Ukraine. Official website. Electronic text data.URL: http://www.nabu.com.ua.

17. Lohosha R.V., Krychkovsky V.Y., Belkin I.V. Marketing strategies for the development of vegetable subcomplex enterprises. Economy and society. Issue 42. 2022. URL:

https://economyandsociety.in.ua/index.php/journal/article/view/1607/1544. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2022-42-10

18. Logosha R. V., Mazur K. V. Methodical approaches to the assessment of the efficiency of economic potential of agricultural enterprises. The scientific heritage. 2020. №49 (49). Vol. 6. P. 3-12. http://www.scientific-heritage.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/VOL-6-No-49-49-2020.pdf

19. Methodological features of the study of the post-industrial model of the vegetable market. Bulletin of ZhNAEU. Series: Economic Sciences. 2017. Issue 1 (59), Vol. 2. P. 33-45.

20. Lohosha R., Mykhalchyshyna L., Prylutskyi A., Kubai O. Institutionalization of the agrarian market in Ukraine and European economic community: genesis, evaluation and analysis. Independent Journal of Management & Production. 2020. № 8. Vol 11. P. 727-750. DOI: https://doi.org/10.14807/ijmp.v11i8.1232

21. Tkachenko T.P. Organizational and economic mechanism of ensuring the profitability of the enterprise. Economic Bulletin of NTUU «KPI». 2018. № 11. P. 48-53.

22. Pedchenko N.S., Strelets V.Y. Budget potential of the region: assessment and development prospects: monograph. Poltava: PUET, 2018. 219 p.

23. Polozova T.V., Ovsyuchenko Y.V. The essence of the organizational and economic mechanism of functioning of industrial enterprises. Bulletin of LSG. Series:

Economic Sciences. 2005. VOL. VIII. № 1-2. P. 63-65.

24. Tridid O.M. Organizational and economic mechanism of strategic development of the enterprise: monograph. Kharkiv: KhDEU Publishing House, 2002. 364 p.

25. Malitsky A.A. Organizational and economic mechanism of enterprise management: essence and structure. Innovations and traditions in modern scientific thought: III International scientific Internet-conf. URL: http://intkonf.org/malitskiy-aa-organizatsiyno-ekonomichniy-mehanizm-upravlinnya-pidpriemstvom-sutnist-ta-struktura.

26. Organizational and economic mechanism of management of machine-building enterprise. Scientific Bulletin of Poltava University of Economics and Trade. 2013. № 1 (56). P. 192-197.

27. Savina S.S. Organizational and economic mechanism of management. Collection of scientific works of VNAU. Series: Economic Sciences. 2012. № 3 (69). VOL. 2. P. 162-167.

28. The essence of the organizational and economic mechanism for managing the market activity of retail trade enterprises. Economics and practice of management. 2013.  $N_{2}$  1. URL:

http://www.napks.edu.ua/library/compilations\_vak/eiu/2013/1/p\_92\_97.pdf.

29. Lohosha R.V. System approach in the methodology of agricultural market research. Market infrastructure. 2017. Issue 8. P. 43-48.

30. Savchenko O.V., Solovyov V.P. The essence and components of the organizational and economic mechanism of stimulating innovation activity at the enterprise. Effective economy. 2013 No 12. URL: http://www.economy.nayka.com.ua/?op =1&z=2635.

31. Lohosha R.V., Poleva O. L. Peculiarities of formation of marketing strategies of agricultural enterprises. International scientific journal «Internauka». 2018. №. 11. https://doi.org/10.25313/2520-2057-2018-11

32. Lohosha R.V., Mazur K.V., Pidvalna O.G. Contractual approach in the context of modern economic research. Economics. Finance. Management: topical issues of science and practice: All-Ukrainian scientific and production journal. 2018. №12. P. 97-111.

33. Ilchenko T.V. (2022). Tendentsii suchasnoho rozvytku marketynhovykh doslidzhen v Ukraini. Pryazovskyi ekonomichnyi visnyk. Vol. 1 (30). pp. 55-59. (in Ukrainian).

34. Ilchenko T. V. (2020). Rol marketynhovykh instrumentiv v innovatsiinomu rozvytku ahrarnykh pidpryiemstv [The role of marketing tools in the innovative development of agricultural enterprises]. Biznes Inform. 10. 460–468. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32983/2222-4459-2020-10-460-468 (in Ukrainian).

35. Pidvalna O.H., Kolesnyk T.V. (2022). Vprovadzhennia innovatsii v marketynhu ahropromyslovykh pidpryiemstv [Implementation of innovations in the marketing of agricultural enterprises]. Pryazovskyi ekonomichnyi visnyk. 2022. Vol. 2 (31). 66-73. (in Ukrainian).

36. Bondarenko V.M., Hontaruk Ya.V. (2022). Formuvannia modelei marketynhu vzaiemodii silskohospodarskykh pidpryiemstv z vyrobnytstva biopalyva. [Formation of marketing models of interaction of agricultural enterprises in the production of biofuel]. Ekonomika ta suspilstvo. № 37. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-072/2022-37-67 (in Ukrainian).

37. Ofitsiinyi sait Derzhavnoi sluzhby statystyky Ukrainy [The State Statistics Service of Ukraine]. Retrieved from http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua. (accessed June 20, 2022).

38. Mazur K.V., Hontaruk Ya.V. (2020). Rozvytok zovnishnoekonomichnoi diialnosti pererobnykh pidpryiemstv APK Ukrainy v umovakh intehratsii v EU [Development of foreign economic activity of processing enterprises of agro-industrial complex of Ukraine in the conditions of integration into the EU]. East European Scientific Journal. 5 (57). 1. 4-10.

39. Lohosha R.V., Mazur K.V., Krychkovskyi V.Yu. (2021) Marketynhove doslidzhennia rynku ovochevoi produktsii v Ukraini: monohrafiia [Marketing research of the market of vegetable products in Ukraine]. Vinnytsia: TOV «TVORY», 344 p. (in Ukrainian).

40. Baldyniuk V.M. (2022). Derzhavne rehuliuvannia zovnishnoekonomichnoi diialnosti Ukrainy [State regulation of foreign economic activity of Ukraine]. Prychornomorski ekonomichni studii. Vol. 74. 36-43.

41. Pidvalna O.H., Kolesnyk T.V. (2022). Vprovadzhennia innovatsii v marketynhu ahropromyslovykh pidpryiemstv [Implementation of innovations in the marketing of agricultural enterprises]. Pryazovskyi ekonomichnyi visnyk. 2022. Vol. 2 (31). 66-73. [in Ukrainian].

42. Furman I. V. (2017). Systema finansovoho rehuliuvannia ahrarnoho sektoru Ukrainy: otsinka suchasnoho stanu ta aktyvizatsiia funktsionuvannia [The system of financial regulation of the agricultural sector of Ukraine: assessment of the current state and intensification of functioning]. Ekonomika, finansy, menedzhment: aktualni pytannia nauky i praktyky. 5. 35-50. (in Ukrainian).

43. Furman I.V. (2017). Vektory pidvyshchennia efektyvnosti upravlinnia prybutkom pidpryiemstva [Vectors of improving the efficiency of enterprise profit management]. Naukovyi visnyk Natsionalnoi akademii statystyky, obliku ta audytu: zbirnyk naukovykh prats. 3. 55–64. (in Ukrainian).

44. Hontaruk Y., Bondarenko V. Formation of marketing models of agricultural enterprises focused on the production of biofuels Marketing research of agricultural enterprises: theoretical and practical aspects. Monograph. Primedia eLaunch, Boston, USA. 2022. P. 185–217

45. Sevidova I.O. (2019). Modern aspects of the development of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises. Retrieved from: http://dspace.khntusg.com.ua/handle/123456789/10401

46. Bai S.I. (2017). Management of Organizations. Kyiv: KNTEU. 174 p.

47. Murtazina, N.V. (2011). Areas of strategic development of agricultural enterprises. Agrosvit. vol. 22. P. 60–62.

48. Ostrovsky P.I. (2006). Agrarian marketing: textbook. Center of educational literature. 224 p.

49. Zhelyapova A.G., Kirnosova M.V. (2014). Modern trends in the development of marketing in the agro-industrial complex: Young scientist. № 5 (1). P. 100-104. Retrieved from: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/molv\_2014\_5(1)\_28.

50. Bogomolova V.V. (2017). Organization of management of marketing activities of the enterprise. Materials of the 15th International Scientific Conference of Young Scientists "Science and Higher Education". At 3 p.m. / Zaporizhia, May 17-18, 2017. Zaporizhia: GU "ZIDMU". Part 2. P. 54-55.

51. Ivchenko, E.A. (2009). Investigation of approaches to determine the essence of enterprise marketing strategy. The mechanism of economic regulation.

vol. 4. P. 90-96.

52. T.V. Ilchenko (2021). Marketing strategy for the agricultural enterprises activities in the conditions of digitalization. Economy and society. № 26. Retrieved from: https://economyandsociety.in.ua/index.php/journal/article/view/346/331 DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2021-26-21

53. Harkavenko S.S. (2002). Marketing. Textbook. Kyiv: Libra. 712 p.

54. Ilchenko, T.V. (2020). The role of marketing tools in the innovative development of agricultural enterprises. Business inform. vol. 10, pp. 460–468.

55. Ilchenko, T. & Bezugla, L. (2019). Trends and priorities change in the European agricultural products market. Investments: practice and experience. vol. 24, pp. 35–42. DOI: 10.32702/2306-6814.2019.24.35

56. Matvienko, F. The concept of marketing the company: as you name the ship, so it will sail. Retrieved from: https://xn--90aamhd6acpq0s.xn--j1amh/teoriya/kontseptsiia-marketynhu-kompanii

57. Babyna O., Babyn I. Organization of marketing activities at milk-processing enterprises of Ukraine. Marketing research of agricultural enterprises: theoretical and practical aspects. Monograph. Primedia eLaunch, Boston, USA, 2022. P. 72–107.

58. State Statistics Service of Ukraine. Retrieved from: http://www.ukrstat. gov.ua

59. Babyna O. Management of marketing activities of agricultural enterprises. Marketing research of agricultural enterprises: theoretical and practical aspects. Monograph. Primedia eLaunch, Boston, USA, 2022. P. 40–71.

60. Marketing: basics of theory and practice: teaching. (2008). Manual; edited by V.V. Lypchuk Levy: "Magnolia 2006". 288p.

61. Kaletnik H.M., Tsikhanovska V.M., Tsikhanovska O.M. (2011). Management and marketing: a study guide. Ministry of Education and Science, Youth and Sports of Ukraine, Vinnytsia. national agrarian Univ. Kyiv: Hi-Tech Press. 580 p.

62. Kolyadenko S.V. (2010). An economic-mathematical model of accounting

for the purchase and sale of agricultural products. Collection of Scientific Works of VNAU: Economic Sciences Series. Volume. 3. Issue 5. P. 111-114.

63. Villain V.G. Marketing. (2002). Textbook. Kyiv: National Academy of Management. 404 p.

64. Kaletnik, H.M., Honcharuk, I.V., Yemchyk, T.V. & Lutkovskaya, S.M. (2020). Agrarian policy and land relations: a textbook. Vinnytsia: VNAU. 307 p.

65. Kaletnik, H.M., Kozlovsky, S.V., Tarasyuk, N.M. & Semenenko, V.V. (2013). Management of economic relations of enterprises of the dairy subcomplex of the agricultural sector of Ukraine: Monograph. Vinnytsya. 248 p.

66. Honcharuk, I.V., Branitsky, Y.Y. & Tomashuk, I.V. The main aspects of

effective formation and use of resource potential in agricultural enterprises. Economics, finance, management: current issues of science and practice. 2017. № 10. P. 54-68.

67. Logosha, R.V. & Mazur, K.V. (2020). Methodical approaches to assessing the effectiveness of the economic potential of agricultural enterprises. The scientific heritage. No 49. Part 6. P. 3-12.

68. Mazur, K.V. & Gontaruk, Ya.V. (2020). Trends and conditions of effective functioning of the raw material base of agro-industrial enterprises. The scientific heritage. № 49. P. 5. P. 29-39.

69. Belkin I.V. ETHICS OF PEDAGOGICAL COMMUNICATION IN THE CONTEXT OF THE STUDENT DEVELOPMENT OF SCIENCE. Colloquium-journal. 2021. № 15 (102). Część 3. P. 37-42.

70. Babych N.D. Kultura fakhovoho movlennia. Navchalnyi posibnyk. Knyhy –XXI, 2005. Chernivtsi. 572 s.

71. Batsevych F.S. Osnovy komunikatyvnoi linhvistyky. Pidruchnyk. Vydavnychyi tsentr «Akademiia». K. 2004. 344 s.

72. Batler-Boudon Tom. Psykholohiia. 50 vydatnykh knyh. Vash putivnyk naivazhlyvishymy robotamy pro mozok, osobystist i liudsku pryrodu. Book Chef. K. 512 s.

73. Bodalev A.A. Psykholohyia obshchenyia. Pedahohyka. M. 2007. 103 s.

74. Hrytsenko S., Hrytsenko T., Ishchenko T. Etyka dilovoho spilkuvannia. Pidruchnyk. Tsentr navchalnoi literatury. K. 344 s.

75. Эктап P. Psykholohia этоtsyi. Ya znaiu. Chto tы chuvstvuesh. 2-e yzd. Per. s anhl. 2010. SPb 334 s.

76. Kuranova S.I. Osnovy psykholinhvistyky.Navchalnyi posibnyk. VTs «Akademiia». K. 2012. 208 s.

77. Pasynok V.H. Osnovy kultury movlennia. Navchalnyi posibnyk. KhNU im. V.N. Karazina. Kh. 2011. 228 s.

78. Pentyliuk M.I., Marunych I.I., Haidaienko I.V. Dilove spilkuvannia ta kultura movlennia. Pidruchnyk. Tsentr navchalnoi literatury. K. 224 s.

79. Sednev A. Vыstuplenye bez podhotovky. Chto y kak hovoryt, esly vas zastaly vrasplokh. Mann, Yvanov y Ferber. M. 2014. 109 s.

80. Filonenko M.M. Psykholohiia spilkuvannia. Pidruchnyk. Tsentr uchbovoi literatury. K. 2008. 224 s.

81. Khmil F.I. Dilove spilkuvannia: navchalnyi posibnyk. «Akademvydav». K. 2004. 280 s.

82. Chmut T.K., Chaika H.L. Etyka dilovoho spilkuvannia: Navchalnyi posibnyk. Znannia. K. 2007. 230 s.

83. Yashenkova O.V. Osnovy teorii movnoi komunikatsii. Navchalnyi posibnyk. K. VTs «Akademiia». 2010. 312 s.

84. Hranty na sadivnytstvo: yak podaty zaiavku na stvorennia vlasnoi spravy [Gardening grants: how to apply to start your own business]. URL: https://minagro.gov.ua/news/granti-na-sadivnictvo-yak-podati-zayavku-nastvorennya-vlasnoyi-spravi. (in Ukrainian).

85. Hranty dlia stvorennia abo rozvytku sadivnytstva, yahidnytstva ta vynohradarstva. [Grants for creation or development of horticulture, berry growing and viticulture]. URL: https://minagro.gov.ua/napryamki/nadannya-grantiv-dlya-stvorennyabiznesu/granti-dlya-stvorennya-abo-rozvitku-sadivnictva-yagidnictva-tavinogradarstva (in Ukrainian).

86. Mazur K.V., Hontaruk Ya.V. (2021). Stan ta perspektyvy rozvytku vyroshchuvannia ta pererobky horikhu voloskoho v Ukraini [Status and prospects of development of walnut growing and processing in Ukraine]. Ekonomichnyi dyskurs. Vypusk 1-2. p. 18–27. (in Ukrainian).

87. Lohosha R.V., Mazur K.V., Krychkovskyi V.Yu. (2021) Marketynhove doslidzhennia rynku ovochevoi produktsii v Ukraini: monohrafiia [Marketing research of the market of vegetable products in Ukraine]. Vinnytsia: TOV «TVORY», 344 p. (in Ukrainian).

88. Bondarenko, V. M., & Shevchuk, H. V. (2018). Problems, development and implementation of the rational marketing structure in enterprises in the fruit and vegetable industry. Economics and Finance. 9, 121–132. (in Ukrainian).

89. Furman I. V. (2017). Systema finansovoho rehuliuvannia ahrarnoho sektoru Ukrainy: otsinka suchasnoho stanu ta aktyvizatsiia funktsionuvannia [The system of financial regulation of the agricultural sector of Ukraine: assessment of the current state and intensification of functioning]. Ekonomika, finansy, menedzhment: aktualni pytannia nauky i praktyky. 5. 35-50. (in Ukrainian).

90. Mazur K.V., Lehoida A.O. (2021). Doslidzhennia stratehichnykh perspektyv rozvytku plodovykh kultur v Ukraini. Zbirnyk naukovykh prats TDATU imeni Dmytra Motornoho (ekonomichni nauky) [A collection of scientific works of the Dmytro Motorny State Technical University (economic sciences)]. № 2 (44). p. 125-128 (in Ukrainian).

91. Furman I.V. (2017). Vektory pidvyshchennia efektyvnosti upravlinnia prybutkom pidpryiemstva [Vectors of improving the efficiency of enterprise profit management]. Naukovyi visnyk Natsionalnoi akademii statystyky, obliku ta audytu: zbirnyk naukovykh prats. 3. 55–64. (in Ukrainian).

92. Pidvalna O.H., Kolesnyk T.V. (2022). Vprovadzhennia innovatsii v marketynhu ahropromyslovykh pidpryiemstv [Implementation of innovations in the marketing of agricultural enterprises]. Pryazovskyi ekonomichnyi visnyk. 2022. Vol. 2 (31). 66-73. [in Ukrainian].

93. Pravdyuk, N. and Kozhukhar, V. (2021). Analytical support of management of the process of sale of horticulture products. Agrosvit, vol. 4, pp. 9–16. DOI: 10.32702/2306-6792.2021.4.9 (in Ukrainian).

94. Pravdiuk N.L., Kozhukhar V.V. (2022). Informatsiine zabezpechennia upravlinnia u haluzi sadivnytstva [Information management support in the field of horticulture]. Ekonomika, finansy, menedzhment: aktualni pytannia nauky i praktyky. 2. 49-66. DOI: 10.37128/2411-4413-2022-2-4 (in Ukrainian).

95. Shevchuk H.V., Hontaruk Ya.V., Hirenko M.A. (2022). Derzhavne rehuliuvannia rozvytku sadivnytstva v Ukraini [State regulation of horticulture development in Ukraine]. Ekonomika ta suspilstvo. Vypusk 43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2022-43-50

96. Abdokova L.Z. The role of social media marketing in modern business. Theory and practice of modern science. 2016. No. 1 (7). P. 3–6.

97. Derykolenko A.O. Internet platform as a basis for effective promotion of products of industrial enterprises. Mechanism of economic regulation. 2020. No. 1. P. 116–123.

98. Derykolenko A.O., Ilyashenko S.M. The website as a tool for the promotion of products for industrial use. Business Inform. 2014. No. 10. C. 229–235.

99. Evolving The Social Media Marketing Ecosystem. Available at : http://davefleet.com/2010/03/evolving-social-media-marketing-ecosystem/

100. Gapchuk M. Tracking the depth of scrolling and the time of interaction with the page. Available at : https://sotnik.biz.ua/blog/tracing-scrolling-and-time-engagement

101. Harbar Zh., Bogatskaya N., Harbar V.. Marketing internet technologies promotion of goods and services. Scientific Letters of Academic Society of Michal Baludansky. 2022. Vol. 10. No. 1. P. 32–34.

102. Harbar Zh.V., Harbar V.A. Marketing tools and strategies for promoting goods to international markets. Scientific innovations and advanced technologies. 2022. No. 10 (12). P. 214–225.

103. Horbal N.I., Goshovska O.V., Sadlovska G.I. Promotion of goods to international markets. Bulletin of the Lviv Polytechnic National University. Management and entrepreneurship in Ukraine: stages of formation and problems of development. 2016. No. 851. P. 55-61.

104. How to promote the site? Free and paid methods of promoting a web resource. Available at : https://venweb.com.ua/besplatno-raskrutit-sait 47

105. How to Start Your Own Business on the Internet : 8 Steps. Available at : http://www.wikihow.com/Start-Your-Own-Business-on-the-Internet

106. Ilyashenko S.M. Modern technologies of Internet marketing application. Marketing and innovation management. 2011. No. 4. Vol. 2. Available at : http://mmi.fem.sumdu.edu.ua

107. Ilyashenko S.M., Ivanova T.E. Problems and prospects of promoting the products of domestic enterprises on the Internet. Bulletin of Odessa National University. Series : Economy. 2015. Vol. 20. Issue 1/2. P. 101-107.

108. Kapinus L.V., Labinska N.O., Skrygun N.P. Marketing behavior of buyers of goods in the marketplace. Problems of the systemic approach in economics. 2020. No. 2 (76). P. 154–160.

109. Kovshova I.O., Glumilina M.O. Marketing adjustment of behavioral stereotypes of consumers in the marketplace of Ukraine. Entrepreneurship and trade. 2021. No. 29. P. 20–27.

110. Kryvenko Y.V., Belyaev L.V. Digital Marketing in the system of integrated marketing communications. Information technologies in economics and management : a collection of scientific student papers. 2019. Issue 1. P. 135–144.

111. Lytovchenko I.L. Methodological aspects of Internet marketing : monograph. Kyiv : Scientific opinion, 2009. 196 p.

112. Lytovchenko I.L., Pylypchuk L.V. Internet marketing : study guide. Kyiv : Center for Educational Literature, 2008. 182 p.

113. Makarova M.V. Digital marketing methods in social media. Scientific Bulletin of the Poltava University of Economics and Trade. Marketing and entrepreneurship. 2019. No. 3 (94). P. 154–161.

114. Marketing research on the Internet : basic approaches and principles of conducting. Available at : http://dewpoint.by/blog/?p=125

115. Melnyk L.G., Derykolenko A.O. The paradigmatic basis (conceptual basis) of promoting the goods of industrial enterprises with the help of digital marketing tools in the conditions of globalization. Agroworld, 2020. No. 12. P. 34–41.

116. Melnyk Yu.M., Sager L.Yu., Ilyashenko N.S., Ryazantseva Yu.M. Classification of the main forms and types of marketing Internet communications. Marketing and innovation management. 2016. No. 4. P. 43–55.

117. Online trading platform. Investment proposals. Available at : https://inventure.com.ua/investments/torgovaya-internet-plaftorma-ukrbiznes/

118. Pashchuk O.B. The method of product promotion in a highly competitive niche on the marketplace. Entrepreneurship and innovation. 2021. Issue 19. P. 27–33.

119. Pechalov O. 24 mistakes when promoting on the Internet. Available at : https://webpromoexperts.net/blog/23-osibkipri-prodvizenii-v-internet

120. Saveliev M.S. Strategies for choosing Internet marketing tools depending on the business models of the enterprise. Marketing and digital technologies. 2021. Vol. 5. No. 4. P. 88–102.

121. Savytska N.L. Marketing in social networks: strategies and tools in the B2C market. Marketing and digital technologies. 2017. No. 1. P. 20–33.

122. SMM promotion of an online store as an innovative marketing paradigm. Available at : http://www.sworld.com.ua/ konfer38/132.pdf.

123. Surovtsev O.O. Social media marketing as an innovative direction of marketing communications of international companies. Black Sea Economic Studies. 2016. Issue 8. P. 24–30.

124. The main factors of site ranking in Google. Available at : http://lemarbet.com.ua/razvitie-internet-magazina

125. The official site of Freiburg University. Internet marketing research : opportunities and problems. Available at : https://www.unifr.ch/marketing/assets/files/2001\_furrer\_sudharshan\_qualitative\_mar ket\_research.pdf.

126. Vartanova O.V. Internet marketing technologies for the promotion of goods and services. Eastern Europe economy, business and management. 2019. Issue 4 (21). P. 158–163.

127. Vavryk A.B. Internet marketing methods and tools. Scientific Bulletin of the Uzhhorod National University. 2018. Issue 22. Part 1. P. 44–47.

128. Zanora V.O. Internet and digital marketing: theoretical foundations. Economics and enterprise management. 2018. Issue 36. P. 117–120.

129. Internet marketing tools. Available at : http://constructorus.ru/uspex/strategiya-i-instrumenty-Internet-marketinga.html.

130. Beltyukov E.A. Competitive strategy undertakings: the day and the molding on the basis estimates equal competitiveness. Economics: realities of the hour. 2014. No. 2(12). P. 6–13.

131. Bilichenko O.S. Classical and modern models of labor activity motivation. Visnik agrarian science of the Black Sea. 2012. No. 4. P. 119–125.

132. Varneke H.Yu. A revolution in entrepreneurial culture. Fractal Enterprise: Per. with him. lang. – M.: MAIK "Science / Interperiodika", 1999. 280 p.

133. Voronkova A.E. Methodological bases for the formation of a mechanism for managing the competitive potential of an enterprise. In istnik of Skhidnoukrainian State University . No. 4 (26), 2000 (other part). P. 33–40.

134. Voronkova A.E. Strategic management of the competitive potential of an enterprise: diagnostics and organization. Monograph. – Lugansk: Publishing House of the East Ukrainian National University, 2000. 315 p.

135. Voronkova A.E., Dibnis G.I., Ponomarev V.P. Support for the competitive potential of the enterprise. – K.: Technique, 2000. 152 p.

136. Drucker P.F. Market: how to become a leader. Practice and principles. – M.: Int. Chamber, 1992. 352 p.

137. Ivanov Yu.B. Competitiveness of the enterprise in the conditions of formation of market relations. – Kharkov: KhGEU, 1997. 246 p.

138. Competitiveness business: assessment straight ahead promotion: monograph / Zag. ed. O.G. Yankovo. – Odessa: Atlant, 2013. 470 p.

139. International competition and opportunities for Ukraine in the fight for the markets. / Ukrainian League of survivors and virobniks "Ukrainian Yakist". – K., 1999. 14 p.

140. Nalivaiko A.P. theory strategies undertakings . Modern mill and direct development : [monograph] / Nalivaiko A.P. – K.: KNEU, 2001. 227 p.

141. Pidtrimka competitiveness undertakings based on rozrobki and choice competitive strategies in mind systemic crisis economy / I.P. Petrovska and in. Today problems economy and business. 2015. No. 16. P. 86–92.

142. Porter M. Competition.: Per. from English: Uch. settlement – M.: Williams Publishing House, 2000. 495 p.

143. Porter M. International competition: Per. from English / Ed. and with a preface by V.D. Shchetinin. – M.: Intern. relations, 1993. 896 p.

144. Porter M.E. Competitive strategy: A technique for analyzing industries and competitors. / M.E. Porter; per. from English. – M.: Alpin Business Books, 2005. 454p.

145. Talavirya O.M. Aspects rozrobki economic strategies development enterprises / O.M. Talavira. Naukovy visnik Uzhhorod university at . 2016. VIP. 1(47). T. 2. P. 335–339.

146. Tarnavska N.P. management competitiveness undertakings: theory, methodology, practice. Ternopil: Ekonomichna Dumka, 2008. 570 p.

147. Chernyavskaya E.I. Methodological principles of the analysis of transformational economy. In istnik of Skhidnoukrainian State University. 2001. No. 1 (35). P. 247–255.

148. Encyclopedic Dictionary of a Businessman. Management, marketing, computer science. / Ed. M.I. Moldovanova. - K.: Tekhnika , 1993. 328 p.

149. Baldyniuk, V.M. (2020). Sutnist ta osoblyvosti derzhavnoho rehuliuvannia rozvytku silskykh terytorii [The essence and features of state regulation of rural development.]. The scientific heritage, 48, 60-67 [in Ukrainian].

150. Zemelnyi kodeks Ukrainy [The Land Code of Ukraine]. (n.d.). zakon.rada.gov.ua. Retrieved from: https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2768-14/ed20011025#Text [in Ukrainian].

151. Mihratsiia v Ukraini. Tsyfry i fakty [Migration in Ukraine. Facts and figures]. iom.org.ua. Retrieved from: https://iom.org.ua/sites/default/files/iom-ukraine\_facts-ukr\_2019.pdf [in Ukrainian].

152. Osnovni sotsialno-ekonomichni pokaznyky Ukrainy [The main socio-economic indicators of Ukraine]. ukrstat.gov.ua. Retrieved from: http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua/operativ/infografika/2020/o\_soc\_ek\_pok\_Ukr/o\_soc\_ek\_p ok\_Ukr\_07\_2020.pdf [in Ukrainian].

153. Poperedni otsinky shchodo dodatkovoi potreby robotodavtsiv u pratsivnykakh na 2021 rik [Preliminary estimates of employers additional need for workers in 2021]. (n.d.) dcz.gov.ua. Retrieved from: https://www.dcz.gov.ua/analitics/67 [in Ukrainian].

154. Pro skhvalennia Kontseptsii reformuvannia mistsevoho samovriaduvannia ta terytorialnoi orhanizatsii vlady v Ukraini: Rozporiadzhennia Kabinetu Ministriv Ukrainy vid 1 kvit. 2014 r. № 333-r [On approval of the Concept of reforming local self-government and territorial organization of power in Ukraine: Order of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine of April 1. 2014 № 333-r.]. (2014, April 1). zakon.rada.gov.ua. Retrieved from: https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/333-2014-%D1%80#Text [in Ukrainian].

155. Furman, I.V. (2020). Napriamy vprovadzhennia zarubizhnoho dosvidu v systemu efektyvnoi roboty orhaniv mistsevoho samovriaduvannia Ukrainy v umovakh detsentralizatsii vlady. [Directions of introduction of foreign experience into the system of effective work of local self-government bodies of Ukraine in the conditions of decentralization of power]. Colloquium-journal, 2, 47-61 [in Ukrainian].

156. Bereziuk, S., Pronko, L., & Samborska, O. (2020). The Phenomenon of Political Power in Ukraine. European Journal of Sustainable Development, 9(2), 488-500 [in English].

157. Bereziuk, S., & Yaremchuk, N. (2020). Corruption as a destructive factor of economic development. The scientific heritage, 47, 11-21 [in English].

158. OECD. (2014). OECD Territorial Reviews: Ukraine. Retrieved from: https://www.oecd.org/regional/territorial-review-ukraine.htm [in English].

159. Kaletnik G., Honcharuk I., Yemchik T., & Okhota Y. (2020). The World Experience in the Regulation of the Land Circulation. European Journal of Sustainable Development, 9(2), 557-568 [in English].

160. Pryshliak, N., Lutsiak, V., Tokarchuk, D., & Semchuk, I. (2020). The Empirical Research of the Potential, Awareness, and Current State of Agricultural Waste Use to Ensure Energy Autonomy of Agricultural Enterprises of Ukraine. Journal of Environmental Management & Tourism, 11(7), 1634-1648 [in English].

161. Samborska, O. (2020). Efficiency of Social Protection of the Rural Population in the United Territorial Community. European Journal of Sustainable Development, 9(3), 333-348 [in English].

162. Samborska, O. (2020). Monitoring of indicators of socio-economic development of Ukraine. Three Seas Economic Journal, 1(4), 117-125 [in English].

163. Samborska, O.Y. (2020). Decentralization in ukraine: current state and prospects. Norwegian Journal of development of the International Science, 3 (45), 60-66 [in English].

164. Todosiiichuk, V. (2020). Analysis of financial activities of a state-funded institution. The scientific heritage, 6 (55), 50-62 [in English].

165. Zubar, I., & Onyshchuk, Y. (2021). Features of land relations reform in Ukraine. Colloquium-journal, 7 (94), 48-56 [in English].

166. Avramenko T.P. The influence of economic factors on the competitiveness of the enterprise. ULR: http://ves.pstu.edu/article/download/129154/124454

167. Andriychuk V.G. Economics of agricultural enterprises: textbook. 2nd ed., add. and recycled. Kyiv: KNEU, 2002. 624 p/

168. Baryshevska I.V. Theoretical aspects of the formation of a marketing strategy for the development of agrarian enterprises. Herald of Agrarian Science of the Black Sea Region. 2014. Issue 4. P. 47–54.

169. Bezkorovaina O.O. Modern paradigm of strategic development of agricultural sector enterprises: marketing aspects and implementation problems. Technologies of the XXI century. : theses add. of science of works of the 24th international science and practice conf. Sumy: SNAU, 2019. Part 2. P. 92–94.

170. Vishnevska O.M., Dvoynisiuk T.V., Shygida S.V. Features of logistics systems of agricultural enterprises. Global and national economic problems. 2015. Issue 7. P. 106(109.

171. Vitvitskyi V.V. Methodical approaches to assessing the competitiveness of agrarian enterprises. Bulletin of the Zhytomyr National Agroecological University. 2015. No. 2(2). P. 207(213.

172. Economic Code of Ukraine. URL: http://zakon4.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/436-15.

173. Granovska V.G. Formation of the strategy of enterprises of the agrarian sector in the conditions of global competition. Global and national economic problems. 2017. No. 110. P. 308–312.

174. Hutsulyak V. R. Marketing mechanism as a tool for increasing the competitiveness of agricultural enterprises on the market. ULR: http://www.investplan.com.ua/pdf/8\_2013/12.pdf

175. Danylenko V. V. Determinants and priorities of monitoring the competitiveness of agricultural enterprises. Bulletin of the Sumy National Agrarian University. Series: Economics and management. 2017. No. 1. P. 78–83.

176. Danylenko V. V. Methodological features of assessing the competitiveness of agricultural enterprises. Bulletin of the Petro Vasylenko Kharkiv National Technical University of Agriculture. 2017. No. 172. P. 86–101.

177. Danko Yu. I. Formation of systems for ensuring the competitiveness of agricultural enterprises: monograph. Sumy: University Book, 2016. 301 p.

178. Zaitsev Yu. O. Theoretical basis of formation of competitive advantages of agricultural enterprises. KHNAU Bulletin. Series: Economic Sciences. 2015. No. 5. P. 68(82.

179. Kaletnik G.M., Honcharuk I.V., Yemchyk T.V., Lutkovska S.M. Agrarian policy and land relations: a textbook. Winn. national agrarian Univ. Vinnytsia: VNAU, 2020. 307 p.

180. Kaletnik H.M., Kovalenko O.V., Broyak A.A. Modern trends in the development of the food industry and its place in the economy of the state. Economy. Finances. Management: topical issues of science and practice. 2018. Issue 8 (24). P. 7–26.

181. Kaletnik G.M., Mazur A.G., Kubai O.G. State regulation of the economy: education. village Kyiv: Hi-Tech Press, 2011. 427 p. 17. Kyrylov Yu. Conceptual principles of competitive development of the agricultural sector of the economy of Ukraine in the conditions of globalization: monograph. Kherson: OLDI-PLUS, 2015. 420 p.

182. Competitiveness of an agricultural enterprise: training. help./ for general ed. Dr. Econ. Sciences, professor, academician of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine A.S. Danylenko Bila Tserkva: BNAU, 2019. 248 p.

183. Krasnorutskyi O. O., Zaitsev Yu. O. Adaptation tools for managing the competitiveness of agrarian enterprises. Ukrainian Journal of Applied Economics. 2016. Vol. 1, No. 1. P. 100(113.

184. Krykavskyi E.V. New paradigm of logistics: strategic status. Scientific works of DonNTU. The series is economical. 2013. No. 4. P. 240–247.

185. Krylatykh E.N. Agri-food sector: multi-functionality, growth factors, risks. Economy of agro-industrial complex. 2010. No. 2. P.163.

186. Kudenko N.V. Marketing strategies of the firm: monograph. Kyiv: KNEU, 2012. 245 p.

187. Larina T.F., Danylenko V.V. The concept of supply chain management in the enterprise management system through the prism of world experience. Regional economy and management. 2019. No. 4 (22). P.60(64.

188. Logosha R.V., Poleva O.L. Peculiarities of formation of marketing strategies of agricultural enterprises. Interscience. 2018. No. 11. ULR: https://www.inter-nauka.com/ru/issues/page-1/?...

189. Lupak R.L., Vasyltsiv T.G. Enterprise competitiveness: study. manual Lviv: LKA Publishing House, 2017. 484 p.

190. Mazur A.G. Economic relations in the process of production and sale of agricultural products by agricultural enterprises in the region. Agroworld 2017. No. 9. C. 14–18.

191. Makarenko N.O., Sklyarenko A.S. Evaluation of the effectiveness of marketing strategy implementation in the sales activity management system of an agricultural enterprise. Eastern Europe: Economy, Business and Management. 2019. No. 5(16). P. 152–158.

192. Malik M.Y., Nuzhna O.A. Competitiveness of agricultural enterprises: methodology and mechanisms: monograph. Kyiv: NNC IAE, 2007. 270 p.

193. Oliynyk O. V., Makogon V. V. Relationship between competitive advantages and economic results of agricultural enterprises. Bulletin of the Sumy National Agrarian University. Series: Economics and management. 2017. Issue 4. P. 55(61.

194. Palamarchuk I.V., Artyukh N.A. Management of supply chains and use of logistics infrastructure. Herald of the economy of transport and industry. 2018. No. 60. P. 101–107.

195. Pasichnyk I.Yu. A methodical approach to evaluating the effectiveness of the marketing strategy in the process of managing product competitiveness. Development management. 2015. No. 4(182). P. 71–75.

196. Porter M. Competition strategy and methods of analysis of industries and activities of competitors. Kyiv: Basics. 1997. 451 p.

197. Prunenko D. O. Supply chain management: a summary of lectures on the academic discipline. Kharkiv: XNUMX named O. M. Beketova, 2017. 140 p.

198. Sitkovska A. O. Competitiveness of agrarian enterprises in the conditions of deepening European integration relations. Investments: practice and experience. 2019. No. 8. P.16-18.

199. Sitkovska A.O. Formation of competitive advantages of agricultural enterprises. Scientific Bulletin of Kherson State University. 2017. No. 2. V. 20. P. 98(100.

200. Spaskyi G. V. Increasing the competitiveness of agricultural enterprises in the conditions of European integration. Economy of agro-industrial complex. 2017. No. 9. P. 33(40.

201. Tkachuk O.M., Savrasova A.A. Formation of the concept of competitiveness of Ukraine in international economic conditions. Formation of market relations in Ukraine. 2012. No. 6(132). P. 49(52.

202. Tomchak V.M. Ensuring the competitiveness of agricultural enterprises. Formation of market relations in Ukraine. 2012. No. 11 (102). P. 73(76.

203. Chukurna O.P. Model of evaluation of marketing anti-crisis strategies in the organizational and economic mechanism of the enterprise. Global and national economic problems. 2017. No. 110. P. 549–555.

204. Shvansky V. S. Peculiarities of the formation of food supply chains. Scientific Bulletin of the Kherson State University, series: Economic Sciences, 2014. Issue 7(4). P. 14(17.

205. Shebanina O. V., Fedoseeva G. S. Formation of competitive advantages of producers of agricultural products on the world market: theoretical aspect. KHNAU Bulletin. Series: Economic Sciences. 2016. No. 2. P. 259(266.

206. Yankovy O.G. Competitiveness of the enterprise: assessment of the level and directions of improvement: monograph. Odesa: Atlant, 2013. 470 p.

207. Yatsiv S. Peculiarities of intensification of production of certain types of products in agricultural enterprises. Agrarian economy. 2017. Vol. 10, No. 3(4. P. 83(91.

208. Kudla, N.Ye. Marketynh turystychnykh posluh [Marketing of tourist services], Znannia, Kyiv, Ukraine. 2014

209. Azaryan, O.M. Rynok turystychnykh posluh: monitorynh i rozvytok kompleksu marketynhu [Tourist services market: monitoring and development of marketing complex], Vyd-vo DonMU, Donetsk, Ukraine. 2015

210. Shulhina, L.M.. Marketynh pidpryyemstv turystychnoho biznesu [Marketing of tourism business enterprises], Kyiv. nats. torh.-ekon. un-t, Kyiv, Ukraine. 2009

211. Strashinska L.V., Yevtyukova I.O. Peculiarities of the marketing complex of tourism entrepreneurship entities in rural areas // Scientific journal of M.P. NPU. Drahomanova. Series 18: Economy and law. 2015. Issue 27. P.91-97.

212. Ermachenkova O.A. Marketing studies in tourism // Young student. 2014. No. 4. P.513-515.

213. Durovych A.P. Marketing in tourism. Minsk: Novoe znanie, 2006. 496 p.

214. Zaburanna L.V. Marketing research of the portrait of the owners of rural green tourism estates // Rural green tourism. 2012. No. 2. P.6-10.

215. Kudla N.E. On the application of a complex of marketing measures in rural tourism // Economy of Ukraine. 2011. No. 2. P.79-85.

216. Khromova A.V. Regional agrotourism product: ideas for a business plan: monograph. Kursk: Uchitel LLC, 2014. 138 p.

217. Bespartochny B.D., Khromova A.V. Peculiarities of marketing studies in the agrotourism sphere of the region // Fundamental studies. 2015. No. 2. Part 2. P.339-342.

218. Kuzio N.E., Kosar N.S. Marketing support for the development of rural green tourism in Ukraine // Marketing and innovation management. 2017. No. 2. P.369-381.

219. Lipchuk V., Lipchuk N. Agrotourism: organizational and economic principles of development. Lviv: SPOLOM, 2008. P.131.13. World Tourism Organization (2017). Affiliate Members Report, Volume sixteen – Second Global Report on Gastronomy Tourism, UNWTO, Madrid.

220. AMIPICI, Mexican Internet Association (2014) "E-Business in Mexico in 2013". Banco Mundial. Doing Business report.

221. Jesús Otoniel, Sosa Rodríguez (2015) Marketing through Social Networks in Touristic and Gastronomy Industry in Manzanillo, Colima, México Proceedings of the International Conference on Global Business, Economics, Finance and Social Sciences (GB15\_Thai Conference) 20–22 February 2015, Bangkok, Thailand.

222. Duga V.O. Features of marketing of agro-tourism product. Market infrastructure. 2019. Issue 37. P. 235-242.

223. Anderton, A., & Jones, R. (2007). GCSE Business Studies. (3rd ed.). Pearson Education Limited, 256 p.

224. Barrow, C., Barrow, P., & Brown, R. (2018). The Business Plan Workbook: A Step-By-Step Guide to Creating and Developing a Successful Business. (9th ed.). London, Kogan Page Ltd., 376 p.

225. Sirkis, R. L., & Race, S. M. (1981). Principles, Strategic Planning for the Food-Service Firm. Cornell HRA Quarterly, 22(1), 35–41.

226. Teare, R., Calver, S., Mazanec, J., & Crawford-Welch, S. (1994). Marketing in Hospitality and Tourism: a Consumer Focus. Lon- don, NY, Cassel, 312 p.

227. Lohosha R., Mykhalchyshyna L., Prylutskyi A., Kubai O. Institutionalization of the agrarian market in Ukraine and European economic community: genesis, evaluation and analysis. Independent Journal of Management & Production. 2020. № 8. Vol 11. P. 727-750. DOI: https://doi.org/10.14807/ijmp.v11i8.1232

228. Konstytutsiia Ukrainy: Pryiniata na p`iatii sesii Verkhovnoi Rady Ukrainy 28 chervnia 1996 roku. Kh.: Konsul, 1996. 48 p.

229. Abramov H.P. Marketynh: voprosы y otvetы./ М.: Ahropromyzdat, 2005. 180 s.

230. Andriushchenko K. A. Formuvannia orhanizatsiinykh faktoriv konkurentnykh perevah pidpryiemstva v rynkovomu seredovyshchi/K. A. Andriushchenko. Innovatsiina ekonomika. Vseukrainskyi naukovo-vyrobnychyi zhurnal. 2011. № 3. p. 93-95.

231. Amstronh Hari. Marketynh: zahal. kurs / Amstronh Hari, Kotler Filip -5-te vydannia M.: vydavnychyi dim "Viliams", 2001 608p.

232. Balabanova L. V. Marketynh pidpryiemstva : navch. posib. / L. V. Balabanova, V. V. Kholod, I. V. Balabanova. K. : Tsentr navchalnoi literatury, 2012. 612 p.

233. Balabanova L. Marketynh: pidruch. / Balabanova L. - 2-he vyd. K.: VD "Profesional", 2004. 647 s.

234. Balashova M. V. Marketynh : uchebnyk : uchebnyk dlia studentov vыsshykh uchebnыkh zavedenyi, obuchaiushchykhsia po эkonomycheskym spetsyalnostiam / [M. V. Balashova y dr.] ; pod obshch. red. N. M. Kondratenko- Moskva: Yurait, 2011. - 364s.

235. Bakharieva Ya.V. Marketynhovi vytraty na pidpryiemstvakh APK v rynkovykh umovakh. Oblik i finansy APK. 2019. №11. c.128 -135.

236. Bieliavtsev M.I. Marketynh. navch. posib. K.: TsNL, 2005. 328 s.

237. Bilovodska O.A. Marketynhova polityka rozpodilu: navch. Pidruchnyk/ Bilovodska O.A. K.: Znannia, 2011 495s.

238. Bilovodska O.A. Marketynhovyi menedzhment: navch. posib./ Bilovodska O.A. K. : Znannia, 2010. 332 p.

239. Bilovodska O.A. Marketynhova polityka rozpodilu: Navch. posib. /Bilovodska O.A. K., 2011. 495 p.

240. Blyzniuk S.V. Marketynh v Ukraini: problemy stanovlennia ta rozvytku: navch. pos./ Blyzniuk S.V. K: Kondor, 2009. 384 p.

241. Borysenko M. A. Promyslovyi marketynh : navch. posibn. / M. A. Borysenko, O. V. Hron, V. M. Shchetynin. Kh. : Vyd. KhNEU, 2010. 292 p.

242. Bondarenko V.M. Stratehichni napriamy ta model optymizatsii raioniv vyrobnytstva prodovolchoi produktsii v rehionalnomu rynku / V.M. Bondarenko// Produktyvni syly i rehionalna ekonomika: Zb. nauk. pr.: U 2 ch. / RVPS Ukrainy. – K.: RVPS Ukrainy NAN Ukrainy, 2005. Ch. 1. S. 173-180.

243. Pidvalna O.H., Bondarenko V.M. Ekonomichne ranzhuvannia terytorii rehionu za rivnem zabezpechennia prodovolstvom. Zbirnyk naukovykh prats Vinnytskoho natsionalnoho ahrarnoho universytetu. 2014. Vyp. 4. p. 102-110.

244. Vachevskyi M.V. Promyslovyi marketynh: navch. posib. / Vachevskyi M.V K.: TsNL, 2014. 256 s.

245. Voichak A.V. Marketynhovыi menedzhment. K.:KNЭU, 2005. 297p.

246. Haidotskyi P.I. Osnovy marketynhu na pidpryiemstvi APK. Haidotskyi P.I., Buzovskyi S.A. K.:KNEU, 2007. 316 p.

247. Harkavenko S.S. Marketynh: pidruchnyk. Harkavenko S.S. K: Libra, 2004. 712 p.

248. Hirchenko T.D. Marketynh: Navchalnyi posibnyk./ Hirchenko T.D., Dubovyk O.V. Kyiv: Tsentr navchalnoi literatury, 2017. 255 p

249. Kaletnik H.M., Pepa T.V., Tsikhanovska V.M. Terytorialna orhanizatsiia zernoproduktovoho kompleksu rehionu ta napriamy yoho udoskonalennia: Monohrafiia Vinnytsia: Vinnytska hazeta, 2010. 180 p.

250. Ofitsiinyi sait Derzhavnoi sluzhby statystyky Ukrainy. URL: http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua.

251. Bondarenko V.M., Hontaruk Ya.V. Analiz stanu ta perspektyv rozvytku APK Ukrainy. Problemy i perspektyvy ekonomiky ta upravlinnia. 2021. № 2 (26). p. 86–98.

252. Furman I.V. Stan ta perspektyvy rozvytku zernoproduktovoho kompleksu Vinnytskoi oblasti. Slovak international scientific journal. 2020. № 43. Vol. 3. P. 36-45.

253. Furman I.V., Hontaruk Ya.V. Teoretychni osnovy formuvannia stratehii rozvytku ahrarnykh pidpryiemstv zernovoho napriamku. Investytsii: praktyka ta dosvid. 2019. № 23. p. 80–87.

254. Iaremchuk N.V. Investytsii v zernoproduktovyi pidkompleks yak prychyna ta naslidok ekonomichnoho rostu. Zbirnyk naukovykh prats Vinnytskoho natsionalnoho ahrarnoho universytetutu. Seriia: Ekonomichni nauky. 2011. №1. p. 158–165.

255. Stavska Yu.V., Yaremchuk N.V. Pidvyshchennia konkurentospromozhnosti produktsii ahropromyslovoho vyrobnytstva shliakhom dotrymannia mekhanizmiv yakosti. Zbirnyk naukovykh prats Tavriiskoho derzhavnoho ahroekolohichnoho universytetu (Ekonomichni nauky). № 2 (22), Tom 1. Melitopol, 2013. p. 244-253.

256. Furman I. V. Systema finansovoho rehuliuvannia ahrarnoho sektoru Ukrainy: otsinka suchasnoho stanu ta aktyvizatsiia funktsionuvannia. Ekonomika. Finansy. Menedzhment: aktualni pytannia nauky i praktyky. 2017. № 5. p. 35-50.

257. Malakhovskyi D. V. Systema nasinnytstva zernovykh kultur ta yii znachennia v rozvytku zernovoho kompleksu krainy. Efektyvna ekonomika. 2012. № 2. URL: http://www.economy.nayka.com.ua/?op=1&z=955

258. Hontaruk Ya.V., Shevchuk H.V. Napriamy vdoskonalennia vyrobnytstva ta pererobky produktsii APK na biopalyvo. Ekonomika ta suspilstvo. 2022. № 36. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2022-36-8

259. U perehonakh za pryzove mistse v zabezpechenni svitu kharchamy chy ne zabude Ukraina nahoduvaty svoikh hromadian [In the race for the prize place in providing the world with food, will Ukraine not forget to feed its citizens]. URL: https://zn.ua/ukr/macrolevel/u-perehonakh-za-prizove-mistse-v-zabezpechenni-svitu-kharchami-chi-ne-zabude-ukrajina-nahoduvati-svojikh-hromadjan.html

260. Kramarev S. Chernozemyi obyiknovennyie – prezhde i teper [Chernozems ordinary - before and now]. URL: http:// zerrno-ua.com.

261. Bodnar O.V. (2007) Rozvytok rynku zerna v Ukraini [Development of the grain market in Ukraine]. NNTs «Instytut ahrarnoi ekonomiky» UAAN, 241 p.

262. Andrushkiv R. (2022) Udoskonalennia marketynhovoi diialnosti pidpryiemstv khlibopekarnoi promyslovosti [Improvement of marketing activity of bakery industry enterprises]. Visnyk Khmelnytskoho natsionalnoho universytetu, vol. 3, pp. 148–156. (in Ukrainian).

263. Dorohi kharchi. Chomu khlib podorozhchaie shche bilshe? [Expensive food. Whydoesbreadbecomemoreexpensive?].URL:https://agravery.com/uk/posts/show/dorogi-harci-comu-hlib-podorozcae-se-bilse

264. Lohosha R.V., Pidlubny V.F. Interpretation of the author's theory in the model of the universal market. Colloquium-journal. 2020. № 31 (83). C. 39-50.

265. Striy L.O. Marketing of the 21st century. Conceptual changes and development trends: monograph [Text] / L.O. Order; for sciences ed. Prof. A.K. Golubeva. Odesa: WWII, 2010. 320 p.

266. SMM iGroup. 2018. URL: http://igroup.com.ua/seo-articles/smm/

267. Kuprash E. Marketing against the wind. Electronic data. URL: http://www.executive.uk/management/marketing/1095768-marketing-protiv-vetra.

268. Tracktop company website. Electronic data. URL: http://tracktop.com.ua/

269. Tracktop company website. Electronic data. URL: https://www.facebook.com/tracktopShop

270. Geographical point of the agricultural machinery and spare parts store "TrackTop" on the GoogleMaps geo-service. Electronic data. URL: https://translate.google.com/?hl=uk&sl=uk&tl=en&text=Fig.%201.3.%20Screenshot %20of%20the%20%22TrackTop%22%20store%20of%20agricultural%20machinery %20and%20spare%20parts%20binding%20to%20the%20geographic%20point%20o n%20the%20GoogleMaps%20geo-service%20%5B5%5D.&op=translate

271. Kudenko N.V. (1998) Strategichnyi marketing [Strategic marketing]. Kyiv (in Ukrainian).

272. Reshetnikova I.L. (2017) Osoblyvosti formuvannya na vitchyznyanykh pidpryyemstvakh [Marketing strategy. Features of formation at domestic enterprises: monograph]. Lugansk: VUGU. (in Ukrainian)

273. Kindratska G.I. (2006) Stratehichnyj menedzhment [Strategic management]. Znannia, Kyiv, (in Ukrainian).

274. Ivchenko, E.A. (2009) Doslidzhennia pidkhodiv schodo vyznachennia sutnosti marketynhovoi stratehii pidpryiemstva [Investigation of approaches to determine the essence of enterprise marketing strategy]. Mekhanizm rehuliuvannia ekonomiky, vol. 4, pp. 90–96. (in Ukrainian).

275. Fedulova L.I. (2007) Suchasni kontseptsii menedzhmentu [Modern conceptions of management]. Kyiv (in Ukrainian).

276. Borysenko O.S., Fisun U.V. (2017) Marketing vidnosyn iak suchasna kontseptsiia marketingu [Relationship marketing as a modern marketing contract]. Infrastruktura rynku, no. 7, pp. 107–113. Available at: http://www.market-infr.od.ua/journals/2017/7\_2017\_ukr/20.pdf (in Ukrainian).

277. Hranyn A.N. Marketynh ynvestytsyi. Derzhava ta rehiony. Ser. : Ekonomika ta pidpryiemnytstvo . 2013. № 1. S. 185-189. 2. Ylyna S.A. Sushchnost katehoryy «ynvestytsyonnыi klymat» y katehoryy «ynvestytsyonnaia pryvlekatelnost» [Tekst] / S.A. Ylyna // Molodoi uchenыi. 2012. №5. S. 153-157.

278. Ylyna S.A. Sushchnost katehoryy «ynvestytsyonnыi klymat» y katehoryy «ynvestytsyonnaia pryvlekatelnost» [Tekst] / S.A. Ylyna // Molodoi uchenыi. 2012. №5. S. 153-157.

279. Ketova N. P. Rehionalnyi marketynh v systemi instrumentiv i pryntsypiv upravlinnia terytorialnym rozvy- tkom // visnyk Adyheiskoho derzhavnoho universytetu. Seriia 5: Ekonomika. 2012. №1 s. 156-163. 8. Kotler F. Osnovы marketynha / F. Kotler. –M. : Vyliams, 2001. –388 s.

280. Kozlova O.A. Yspolzovanye ynstrumentov terrytoryalnoho marketynha v systeme vzaymodeistvyia marketynhovыkh kontseptsyi [Tekst] / O.A. Kozlova // NPZh «Эkonomyka. Upravlenye. Pravo» 2010. №12.

281. Ovsiannykova A.V. Rol rehyonalnoho marketynha v povыshenyy ynvestytsyonnoi pryvlekatelnosty rehyona /Ovsiannykova A.V., Sokolova Y.S. // Ynternet-zhurnal «NAUKOVEDENYE» Tom 7, №5 (2015) http://naukovedenie.ru/PDF/64EVN515.pdf

282. Ofitsiinyi sait derzhavnoi sluzhby statystyky [Elektronnyi resurs] - Rezhym dostupu : http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua/. - №5.

283. Panasenko L. M. Etapy formuvannia terytorialnoho brendu / L. M. Panasenko // Visnyk Donetskoho universytetu ekonomiky ta prava. 2013. № 2. – S. 158-162. – Rezhym dostupu: http: // nbuv.gov.ua / UJRN / vduetp\_2013\_2\_33

284. Sadovyi V.O. Imidzh rehionu yak faktor zaluchennia inozemnykh i vnutrishnikh investytsii /V.O. Sadovyi, N.O. Mohylna, V.A. Omelianenko/ Mekhanizm rehuliuvannia ekonomiky, 2019, № 3, T. 2 /https://essuir.sumdu.edu.ua/bitstream/123456789/2945/1/358.pdf

285. Stratehiia zbalansovanoho rehionalnoho rozvytku Vinnytskoi oblasti na period do 2020 - Rezhym dostupu: http://www.vinrada.gov.ua/proekt-strategi%D0%87regionalnogo-rozvitku-vinnicko%D0%87-oblasti-na-period-do-2020-roku.htm